

# Secure Implementation of ECDSA Signatures in Bitcoin

Di Wang

University College London

*Supervised by: Dr. Nicolas T. Courtois*

September 11, 2014

# Overview

Motivation

What Has Been Achieved

Methods Used

Results and Evaluation

Further Work

# Motivation

- ▶ Bitcoin
- ▶ ECDSA: A main building block in Bitcoin
- ▶ ECDSA curve used: *secp256k1*

# What Has Been Achieved

- ▶ ECDSA prototype
- ▶ Bitcoin transaction
- ▶ Performance improvement
- ▶ Side channel countermeasures

# ECDSA Prototype

- ▶ Key generation: *secp256k1*
- ▶ Signature generation/verification
- ▶ Point multiplication: Double-and-add method (Affine Coordinates)

---

## Algorithm 1 Double-and-add Algorithm

---

Require:  $d, P$

Ensure:  $Q = dP$

```
1: if  $d_1 = 1$  then
2:    $Q := P$ 
3: end if
4: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
5:    $Q := 2Q$ 
6:   if  $d_i = 1$  then
7:      $Q := Q + P$ 
8:   end if
9: end for
10: return  $Q$ 
```

---

# Performance Improvement

- ▶ Projective Coordinates (Avoids inversion)
- ▶ Window Method

---

## Algorithm 2 Window Method Algorithm

---

Require:  $d, P$

Ensure:  $Q = dP$

1: if  $d_1 > 0$  then

2:    $Q := d_1 P$

3: end if

4: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do

5:    $Q := 2^w Q$  (Repeated doubling)

6:   if  $d_i > 0$  then

7:      $Q := Q + d_i P$  (Precomputed value  $d_i P$ )

8:   end if

9: end for

10: return  $Q$

---

# Side Channel Countermeasures

- ▶ Simple Power Analysis (SPA)
  1. Double-and-add-always method
  2. Montgomery ladder method
  3. Window-add-always method
- ▶ Differential Power Analysis (DPA)
  1. Scalar blinding
  2. Random scalar splitting

# Side Channel Countermeasures

## Simple Power Analysis

- ▶ Double-and-add-always Method

---

### Algorithm 3 Double-and-add-always Algorithm

---

Require:  $d, P$

Ensure:  $Q = dP$

1:  $Q[0] = \mathcal{O}$

2:  $Q[1] = \mathcal{O}$

3: if  $d_1 = 1$  then

4:    $Q[0] := P$

5: end if

6: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do

7:    $Q[0] := 2Q[0]$

8:    $Q[1] := Q[0] + P$

9:    $Q[0] := 2Q[d_i]$

10: end for

11: return  $Q[0]$

---



# Side Channel Countermeasures

## Simple Power Analysis

- ▶ Montgomery Ladder Method

---

### Algorithm 4 Montgomery Ladder Algorithm

---

**Require:**  $d, P$

**Ensure:**  $Q = dP$

1:  $Q[0] = \mathcal{O}$

2:  $Q[1] = \mathcal{O}$

3: **if**  $d_1 = 1$  **then**

4:      $Q[0] := P$

5:      $Q[1] := 2P$

6: **end if**

7: **for**  $i = 1$  to  $n$  **do**

8:      $Q[1 - d_i] := Q[0] + Q[1]$

9:      $Q[d_i] := 2Q[d_i]$

10: **end for**

11: **return**  $Q[0]$

---

# Side Channel Countermeasures

## Simple Power Analysis

- ▶ Window-add-always Method

---

### Algorithm 5 Window-add-always Algorithm

---

```
Require:  $d, P$ 
Ensure:  $Q = dP$ 
1:  $Q[0] = \mathcal{O}$ 
2:  $Q[1] = \mathcal{O}$ 
3: if  $d_1 > 0$  then
4:    $Q[0] := d_1 P$ 
5: end if
6: for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
7:    $Q[0] := 2^w Q[0]$  (Repeated doubling)
8:    $Q[1] := Q[0] + d_i P$  (Precomputed value  $d_i P$ )
9:   if  $d_i > 0$  then
10:     $Q[0] = Q[1]$ 
11:   else
12:     $Q[0] = Q[0]$ 
13:   end if
14: end for
15: return  $Q[0]$ 
```

---

# Side Channel Countermeasures

## Differential Power Analysis

To compute  $Q = dP$ :

- ▶ Scalar Blinding

Choose a 20-bit random number  $k$ ,

Then  $d' = d + k * \#N$ .

$Q = d'P = (d + k * \#N)P = dP$  since  $\#NP = \mathcal{O}$ .

- ▶ Random Scalar Splitting

Choose a 200-bit random number  $r$ ,

Then  $Q = dP = (d - r)P + rP$ .

## Four Versions

- ▶ Version 1: Double-and-add-always + Scalar Blinding
- ▶ Version 2: Window-add-always + Scalar Blinding
- ▶ Version 3: Montgomery Ladder + Random Scalar Splitting
- ▶ Version 4: Montgomery Ladder + Scalar Blinding

# Speed Performance Results

|               | Prototype | Improved | Version 1 | Version 2 | Version 3 | Version 4 |
|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>#ADD</b>   | 127       | 59       | 256       | 64        | 456       | 256       |
| <b>1ADD</b>   | 28 us     | 17 us    | 17 us     | 19 us     | 17 us     | 20 us     |
| <b>#DBL</b>   | 256       | 256      | 256       | 256       | 455       | 256       |
| <b>1DBL</b>   | 32 us     | 15 us    | 15 us     | 16 us     | 13 us     | 15 us     |
| <b>Cal PM</b> | 11.75 ms  | 4.81 ms  | 8.20 ms   | 5.31 ms   | 13.67 ms  | 8.98 ms   |
| <b>1PM</b>    | 12.64 ms  | 5.42 ms  | 9.36 ms   | 5.84 ms   | 15.12 ms  | 9.74 ms   |
| <b>SIGN</b>   | 13.78 ms  | 6.53 ms  | 10.23 ms  | 7.13 ms   | 16.26 ms  | 11.39ms   |
| <b>1M</b>     | 1.0 us    | 1.15 us  | 1.15 us   | 1.1 us    | 1.05 us   | 1.2 us    |
| <b>1S</b>     | —         | 1.15 us  | 1.05 us   | 1.15 us   | 1.1 us    | 1.05 us   |
| <b>1I</b>     | 18.25 us  | —        | —         | —         | —         | —         |

## Affine Coordinates:

Prototype-Double-and-add

## Projective Coordinates:

Improved-Window method

Version 1-Double-and-add-always + Scalar Blinding

Version 2-Window-add-always + Scalar Blinding

Version 3-Montgomery Ladder + Random Scalar Splitting

Version 4-Montgomery Ladder + Scalar Blinding

# Security Evaluation

|  | <b>Version 1</b> | <b>Version 2</b>           | <b>Version 3</b>                                   | <b>Version 4</b>                            |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| <b>SPA</b>   | Good             | Poor                       | Good   | Good  |
| <b>DPA</b>   | Fair             | Fair                       | Good   | Fair  |
| <b>FA</b>  | Poor             | Poor                       | Fair   | Fair  |
| <b>AUTHOR</b>  | Coron, J.S. [2]  | Di Wang /<br>Coron J.S.[2] | Montgomery,<br>P. L. [3]/Ciet, M.&<br>Joye, M. [1] | Montgomery,<br>P. L.[3] / Coron,<br>J.S.[2] |
| <b>YEAR</b>  | 1999             | 2014/1999                  | 1987/2003  | 1987/1999                                   |
| Version 1-Double-and-add-always + Scalar Blinding<br>Version 2-Window-add-always + Scalar Blinding<br>Version 3-Montgomery Ladder + Random Scalar Splitting<br>Version 4-Montgomery Ladder + Scalar Blinding |                  |                            |  |   |

## Further Work

- ▶ Coherence check [4]
- ▶ Security evaluation of overlap side channel countermeasures

# References



Cite, M. and Joye, M. (2003)

(virtually) free randomization techniques for elliptic curve cryptography.  
*Information and Communications Security*, pp. 348-359.



Coron, J.S. (1999)

Resistance against differential power analysis for elliptic curve cryptosystems.

*Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems*, pp. 292-302.



Montgomery, P.L. (1987)

Speeding the pollard and elliptic curve methods of factorization.

*Mathematics of computation*, pp. 243-264.



Dominguez Oviedo, A. (2008)

On fault-based attacks and countermeasures for elliptic curve cryptosystems.



# Thanks!