

Tutorials and Exercises

- Number Theory and Cryptography -

Nicolas T. Courtois, December 2007

Exercise 1. Recall and prove the Bezout theorem.

Show that if $d|a$ and $d|b$, then $d|GCD(a, b)$.

Show that if p is prime and $p|ab$, then $p|a$ or $p|b$. (the fact that each integer has a unique decomposition as a product of prime numbers is a direct consequence of that and cannot be used).

Exercise 2. Recall the Chinese remainder theorem (CRT).

A small Chinese army unit has been ordered in 3 ranks. Two soldiers remained. Then it has been ordered in 7 ranks. 6 soldiers remained.

Finally in 10 ranks. Again two remained. How many soldiers (at least) were there ?

Exercise 3.

Compute the 7 - th root of 23 in Z_{77}^* .

Exercise 4.

Show that, in order to prove that an integer n is prime, it is sufficient to exhibit **one** element of (multiplicative) order $n - 1 \pmod n$. Prove that 257 is prime (for this we use the Lagrange Theorem, show that $3^{256} = 1 \pmod{257}$ and that $3^{128} \neq 1 \pmod{257}$). Factor $2^{16} - 1$.

Exercise 5.

Let p be an odd prime. We assume that the factorisation of $p - 1$ is known.

$$p - 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_r^{\alpha_r}$$

1. Devise an algorithm which checks that an element $g \in Z_p^*$ is a generator. What is its complexity ?
2. What is the probability that a random g is a generator ? Hint: $\phi(p - 1)/(p - 1)$.
3. Propose an algorithm to find a generator. What is the complexity ?

Exercise 6.

Let $n = p_1 \times \dots \times p_r$ with **distinct** odd primes.

Let \mathcal{C} be the ring isomorphism used in the CRT.

1. By checking all possible cases, find the QR and QNR for Z_{35}^* .
2. Show that a is a QR modulo n , if and only if **all** the $a \bmod p_i$ are QR.
3. Show that for any QR x , there exists k such that there are **exactly** 2^k square roots of x .
4. Show that QR is a subgroup of Z_{35}^* . Compute the order of this subgroup.
5. Show that we always have QR \times QNR = QNR.
6. Find one example when QNR \times QNR is a QR. Show that QNR is NOT a subgroup for $n = 35$.

Exercise 7.

We assume that two banks use RSA with two different public exponents $e_1 = 3$ and $e_2 = 5$ but with the same 1024-bit RSA modulus n that has been generated by some central authority. The authority has delivered to the banks the private exponents d_1 and d_2 .

1. Show that each bank can forge signatures on behalf of the other bank.
2. Assume that an important message m on 1024 bits is being sent to both banks. Show that everybody can decrypt this message.

Exercise 8. A Provably Collision-Resistant Hash Function Based on Number Theory.

Let $p = 2p' + 1$ and $q = 2q' + 1$ are two safe primes, which means that p' and q' are also primes. Let $n = pq$ and let g be an element of order $p'q'$ in Z_n^* .

1. Recall what is the probability that a random number n is a prime. Recall what is the complexity of Miller-Rabin primality test (it requires a constant number of modular exponentiations to achieve error probability as small as necessary, e.g. 2^{-80}). Give an algorithm to generate a safe prime p (the same is used for q) and evaluate the complexity for the final result being on k bits.
2. Explain how to generate g .
Hint 1: Note that g is of order p' mod p and of order q' mod q . Hint 2: Show that the subgroup of QR in Z_p are exactly the residues such that $x^{(p-1)/2} = 1$ and that the subgroup QR is cyclic of order p' .
Hint 3: Show that in a cyclic group of prime order, all elements except 1 are generators.
Hint 4: Show that it is sufficient to pick a random square in Z_n^* such that $g \bmod p \neq 1$ and $g \bmod q \neq 1$.

Now we encode a message as an integer of an arbitrary size, and we define the hash function $H(m) = g^m \bmod n$.

3. We call the exponent of Z_n^* , an integer $\lambda(n)$ being the lcm of orders of all elements of Z_n^* . Compute $\lambda(n)$. We assume that the following fact is known: given $\lambda(n)$ and n , one can compute factors of n . Show that finding collision on H is at least as hard as factorizing n .
4. Show that finding a pre-image on H is at least as hard as solving the DL problem w.r.t. to base g in both Z_p^* and Z_q^* .

Exercise 9. Let $GF(2)$ be a field with two elements.

1. Look at these two polynomials X^3+1 , X^3+X+1 , which one is irreducible in $GF(2)[X]$? Let $P(x)$ be this polynomial and $P'(X)$ the other. Give a complete proof that $P(X)$ is indeed irreducible.
2. Factor $P(X)$ and $P'(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$.
3. We define a field F as the set of all monomials modulo $P(X)$, or in other terms $F = GF(2)[X]/P(X)$, with the addition and the multiplication of polynomials modulo $P(X)$. How many elements has this field?
4. How many solutions in F has the equation $x^2 = x$? Write all of them and prove that there is no more.
5. Compute $1, X, X^2, \dots$ modulo $P(X)$.
6. Is P a primitive polynomial?
7. How many solutions in $GF(2)$ has the equation $x^2 = 1$?
8. How many solutions in F ? Prove that there is no more.
9. And in $GF(3)$?
10. And in Z_n with $n = pq$ a product of two large primes? (Hint: Chinese Remainder Theorem).
11. And in Z_6 ? (Hint: under what condition on p and q one can apply CRT?).
12. And in Z_4 ?
13. Let $Sq : F \rightarrow F$ be defined as $Sq(x) = x^2$ in $GF(2)[X]/P(X)$. Show that $Sq(x+y) = Sq(x) + Sq(y)$.
14. Show that Sq is one-to-one.
15. We define a **one-time** encryption scheme on 3-bits with 3-bit keys as $c = E_k(m) = k + Sq(m)$. Show that this scheme achieves perfect unconditional confidentiality (Shannon).

Exercise 10. Let $\alpha \in GF(p^2)$ such that α satisfies the polynomial equation $X^2 + aX + b = 0$ with $a, b \in GF(p) \subset GF(p^2)$ and such that $\alpha \notin GF(p)$.

1. Prove that if some $\beta \neq \alpha$ also satisfies this equation then $\beta = \alpha^p$.
2. Prove that $a = -\alpha - \alpha^p$ and $b = \alpha^{p+1}$.

Exercise 11. Prove that when ... (complete the statement) ... we have $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$. Hint: Use CRT.

Exercise 12. Prove that

$$\sum_{i|n} \phi(i) = n$$

Check what happens when $n = pq$.

Exercise 13. Let $n = pqr$ a product of 3 different prime numbers. How many solutions has the equation $x^2 = 81 \pmod n$?

Exercise 14. Basic Attacks on DSS.

We recall very briefly the DSS signature scheme. Let p be an integer on 1024 bits and let g be an element of order $q|p-1$ with q being a prime that has 160 bits. The private key of Alice is an integer $0 < a < q$ and her public key is $g^a \pmod p$.

To sign a message m Alice hashes the message to obtain an integer $h = H(m)$ with $0 < h < q$. Then she chooses a random integer k on 160 bits such that $0 < k < q$. Let r be $r = (g^k \pmod p) \pmod q$. Then she computes an integer s such that $sk \equiv h + ar \pmod q$. The signature is a couple (r, s) .

1. Show that when a smart card does not have a physical random number generator and no non-volatile memory the the scheme may be very insecure. More precisely, show that if the card can be reset to a previous state and if the same random k is used twice to sign with DSS, then one can recover the private key.
2. Show that when a smart card does have a very poor random number generator and the entropy of k is only 30 bits, the scheme is insecure.
3. What will be the complexity of the previous attack when the random number generator is good ?
4. Show that if the DL is easy in $\langle g \rangle \subset Z_p^*$, then anyone can forge signatures.
5. What if the DL is easy in Z_p^* ?
6. Otherwise, what is the complexity of this attack with Shanks baby-step giant-step algorithm ?
7. We assume that H is SHA-1 with the output reduced modulo q if $\geq q$. Show that if H is not one-way, then there is an existential forgery.
8. What will be the complexity of the previous attack when H is SHA-1 and with brute force inversion ?
9. IF H is OW but not CR, show that we can forge a signature
10. What will be the complexity of this attack if H is SHA-1 and with birthday paradox ?

Solution to Exercise 4.

Let g be such that $g^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod n$ and $g^i \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ for $0 < i < n-1$. We show that these numbers $g^i \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ for $0 < i < n-1$ are distinct non-zero residues mod n . They are distinct because if $g^i \equiv g^j \pmod n$, then g^{i-j} would be $\equiv 1 \pmod n$. They are non-zero because g is invertible and therefore any power of g is.

So these $g^i \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ for $0 < i < n-1$ are exactly all residues $1 \dots n-1$, each is taken once. So all residues $1 \dots n-1$ are invertible. Now if $p|n$ then p is not invertible mod n , contradiction.

Now, to prove that 257 is prime we need to prove that the order of 3 mod 257 is 256 and not less. First we check that $3^{256} = 1 \pmod{257}$. Then, by Lagrange theorem, $\text{ord}(3)|256$. So we verify that $3^{128} \neq 1 \pmod{257}$.

Finally, $2^{16} - 1 = (2^8 + 1)(2^8 - 1) = 257 \cdot (2^4 + 1)(2^4 - 1) = 257 \cdot 17 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$.

Solution to Exercise 8. A Provably Collision-Resistant Hash Function Based on Number Theory.

We have $p = 2p' + 1$ and $q = 2q' + 1$ and $n = pq$.

1. Let $k = \lceil \log_2(n) \rceil$. The probability that n is a prime is about $\frac{1}{\ln n}$. We expect that the probability that n and $2n+1$ are both primes will be about $\frac{1}{\ln^2 n} = \frac{1}{k^2 / \log_2^2(e)}$.

Assuming that a modular multiplication takes $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ operations, one modular exponentiation requires $\mathcal{O}(k^3)$ operations. since Miller-Rabin primality test requires a constant number of modular exponentiations to achieve error probability as small as necessary, e.g. 2^{-80} , the complexity of the test is $\mathcal{O}(k^3)$. The test has to be repeated $k^2 / \log_2^2(e)$ times on average. Thus the total complexity is $\mathcal{O}(k^5)$.

2. Let g be a randomly chosen square in Z_n^* such that $g \pmod p \neq 1$ and $g \pmod q \neq 1$. Since g is a square by Fermat's little theorem $g^{(p-1)/2} = 1 \pmod p$. Then $(p-1)/2 = p'$ is a prime by Lagrange theorem, the order of $g \pmod p$ must divide p' , and thus it must be p' . Similarly, the order of $g \pmod q$ is q' . Now what is the order of g modulo $n = pq$? Let \mathcal{C} be the CRT ring isomorphism: $\mathcal{C}(x) = (x \pmod p, x \pmod q)$

If we look at the sequence $\mathcal{C}(1), \mathcal{C}(g), \mathcal{C}(g^2), \mathcal{C}(g^3), \dots$. The first coordinate has cycle p' and the second has cycle q' . The whole has thus cycle of length $p'q'$. By CRT it has to be the same for the sequence $1, g, g^2, g^3, \dots$

3. (Difficult)

If one has $g^m = g^{m'} \pmod{pq}$ then assuming $m > m'$ it means that $(m-m')$ is a multiple of $p'q'$. Let $(m-m') = Kp'q'$. We also have $n-1 = (2p'+1)(2q'+1) - 1 = 4p'q' + 2(p'+q')$.

If we compute $L = 4(m-m')/(n-1)$ we get a good approximation of K : $L(n-1) = 4Kp'q'$ and $L(n-1) - 4Lp'q' < 2\sqrt{n}$. Thus $K-L < 2/\sqrt{n}$. Since K is an integer, this allows to compute K as the closest integer to L . Finally, we get $p'q' = (m-m')/K$ and we compute $p'+q'$ from the

equation $n - 1 = 4p'q' + 2(p' + q')$. Now, given $p'q'$ and $p' + q'$ it is easy to compute p' and q' .

For example, we can compute $(p' - q')$ by using the following quite remarkable identity:

$$(p' + q')^2 - (p' - q')^2 = 4p'q'$$

Then we compute p' and q' .

4. Assume there is an oracle that can find a pre-image for H that works with some probability P , i.e. given y it computes α s.t. $y = g^\alpha \pmod n$. Then this oracle also computes a DL in Z_p^* w.r.t the generator $g \pmod p$ which will be $\alpha \pmod p$. It remains to use the fact that computing DL with respect to one basis is equivalent to computing it w.r.t. another basis: $\log_a b = \log_g b / \log_g a$.

Solution to Exercise 9.

1. $P(X) = X^3 + X + 1$.

It is irreducible because of degree 3 and has no roots. (Beware, when a polynomial has no roots, it does NOT in general imply it is irreducible (!). It can simply be a product of two polynomials of degree 2 that have roots that are not in the base field.) For polynomials of degree 3 the argument is valid, because if it has non-trivial polynomial factors, one must of degree exactly 1, (as $3=2+1$). Every polynomial of degree exactly 1 and not 0 in a field has a root: $ax + b = 0$ has a root $-b/a$.

2. $P(X)$ has no factors other than itself, and $P'(X) = (X + 1)(X^2 + X + 1)$. (This factorisation can be found by finding a root of this polynomial and dividing it by $(X + 1)$.)

3. $2^3 = 8$.

4. 0 and $1 \in F = GF(2)[X]/P(X)$. Since we are in a field, a polynomial of degree 2 has at most two roots. 0 and 1 are roots, and there is no more.

5. $1, X, X^2, X + 1, X^2 + X, X^2 + X + 1, X^2 + 1, 1, \dots$

6. yes

7. 1

8. 1. There is at least 1 solution 1, and at most 2 solutions because the equation is of degree 2. All roots of this equation form a subgroup of $(F \setminus \{0\}, \times)$. The cardinal of this group must divide the order of $F \setminus \{0\}$ which is odd and equal to 7. It cannot be 2 and must be 1. This ends the proof that there is only one square root of 1 in F . (This proof works for any field $GF(2^k)$.)

9. 2, which are 1 and 2.

10. 4 solutions. Large prime numbers are > 2 and odd. We will use the Chinese Remainder Theorem. There are solutions 1 and $p - 1 \pmod p$, there are solutions 1 and $q - 1 \pmod q$, so there are 4 possible couples $(x \pmod p$ and $x \pmod q)$, which by CRT are mapped to exactly 4 remainders modulo n .
11. only $2 = 2 \cdot 1$, because $p = 2$ is even there is 1 solution mod 2 (CRT still applies because $GCD(2, 3) = 1$).
12. Here (and only here) we CANNOT apply the CRT, as $p = 2$ and $q = 2$ are NOT relatively prime (and it would give a false result $1 \cdot 1$). By exhaustive enumeration we see that the equation has 2 solutions 1 and 3.
13. For all polynomials x and y in $GF(2)[X]$, we have $Sq(x + y) \equiv Sq(x) + Sq(y) + 2Sq(x)Sq(y) = Sq(x) + Sq(y)$. The same holds for their remainders modulo $P(X)$.
14. Since $F \setminus \{0\}$ is a cyclic multiplicative group, there is no element in $F \setminus \{0\}$ with $x^2 = 0$. Therefore, the equation $Sq(x) = 0$ has only one solution $x = 0$. From this we show that Sq is injective, because if $Sq(x) = Sq(y)$ then $Sq(x - y) = Sq(x) - Sq(y) = 0$ which implies $x = y$. Finally, since it is injective function $F \rightarrow F$, it must be surjective (images of all elements of F are all different, they must cover the whole set F).
15. Since $m \mapsto m^2$ is a publicly known bijective transformation, the security of E_k is totally equivalent to the security of $E_k(m) = k + m$ which is the Vernam one-time pad on 3 bits that achieves perfect privacy.

Solution to Exercise 12. We want to prove that

$$\sum_{i|n} \phi(i) = n$$

We observe that each element $a \in Z_n$ generates some cyclic additive subgroup of it that will be called $\langle a \rangle$. This including 0 that generates a group $\{0\}$, + that is a trivial group. In each subgroup we will simply select the smallest non-zero element that must be a generator of this group, this is because if g is not a multiple of a , then at some moment $ka > g$ while $(k - 1)a < g$ and $ka - g$ would be a group element smaller than a , contradiction. Also, $a|n$ for the same reason. Each subgroup will be represented by this minimal generating element a with $a|n$. For $\{0\}$, + we will say that $a = n$ (as we want $a \neq 0$).

We define the following application: for any residue $x \in Z_n$ let $f(x) =$ this smallest generator a of the group generated by x with notably $f(0) = n$. Now all the integers $0, \dots, n - 1$ can be grouped according to the same value of a . This is a partitioning.

$$\{0, \dots, n - 1\} = \dot{\bigcup}_{a|n} f^{-1}(\{a\})$$

Now we need to look at the size of each preimage set $f^{-1}(a)$. Let $a \neq n$ and $a|n$. This subset, for some fixed a , contains elements x that are multiples of a , but are not in any strict subgroup of $\langle a \rangle$. So $x = ka$ with $0 \leq k < n/a$. We show that $GCD(k, n/a) = 1$. Indeed, otherwise we have a strict subgroup of

$\langle a \rangle$. So there are $\phi(n/a)$ elements in this subset of $0 \dots n - 1$. We note also when $a = n$ the size of the set $\{0\}$ is $1 = \phi(1) = \phi(n/a)$.

To summarize, for each divisor a of n we have exactly $\phi(n/a)$ elements in $0, \dots, n - 1$ such that $f(x) = a$ and these elements are defined as $\{x | x = ka \text{ with } k < n/a \text{ and } GCD(k, n/a) = 1\}$ (subtle point: this definition works also when $a = 1$ as $GCD(0, 1) = 1$). In particular when $n/a = 1$ the set is $\{0\}$ and when $n/a = n$ the set is Z_n^* .

This is a partition of the set $0, \dots, n - 1$.

Therefore $\sum_{i|n} \phi(n/i) = n$ and $\sum_{i|n} \phi(i) = n$.

When $n = pq$ we get $n = 1 + (p - 1) + (q - 1) + (p - 1)(q - 1)$.

Solution to Exercise 14.

1. For the first signature we have $sk \equiv h + ar \pmod{q}$ and $r = (g^k \pmod{p}) \pmod{q}$.

For the first next signature we reset the card to the same state, assume that the "randomness" can be reproduced and we have $s'k \equiv h' + ar \pmod{q}$ and $r = (g^k \pmod{p}) \pmod{q}$.

Thus we get: $(s - s')k \equiv h - h' \pmod{q}$ and since q is a prime, we can directly recover k and compute $r = (g^k \pmod{p}) \pmod{q}$.

Finally, now in the equation $sk \equiv h + ar \pmod{q}$, we know everything except a . Thus we can compute a which allows to forge any signature.

2. Low entropy (assuming that the probability distribution is known) implies the possibility for the attacker to guess k by trying some 2^{30} highly probable values of k . As above, given k we compute r and a . We do a Known-Message Attack. Thus, from one known message-signature pair we can compute a list of 2^{30} plausible candidates for a . Thus, given another message-signature pair we check if this a is correct. Thus we can compute a which allows to forge any signature. The complexity of the attack is about $2^{30} \cdot C$ where C is less than the cost of one DSA signature...
3. Since in general the entropy of k should be about 160 bits, as $0 < k < q$, it is about 2^{160} .
4. If the DL is easy in $\langle g \rangle \subset Z_p^*$, then from the public key of Alice $g^a \pmod{p}$ one immediately recovers the private key of Alice which is an integer $0 < a < q$.
5. If the DL is easy in Z_p^* then the DL is also easy in a subgroup $\langle g \rangle \subset Z_p^*$. We can hope that any algorithm that works in Z_p^* just works in $\langle g \rangle$.
6. The complexity is $2^{160/2}$. Memory is $2^{160/2}$ with baby-step giant step but this requirement can be removed with Pollard's rho method as will be explained here below.

The most obvious method to compute DL of $g^a \pmod{p}$ is the exhaustive search to solve the equation $A = g^x$ with A being the public key of Alice. the complexity will be about q which is about 2^{160} .

The second method is called baby-step giant step. Instead of exhaustive search we use the Birthday-Paradox approach and proceed as follows. Let

$m = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$. We can write $x = im + j$ with both i and j being 80-bit integers. then we need to solve the equation: $A(g^{-m})^i = g^j$. We use a hash table of size about $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{q}) \approx 2^{80}$. The whole attack requires $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{q}) \approx 2^{80}$ operations and as much memory. (this method is also described in Menezes-Oorshot-Vanstone book section 3.6.2. and Example 3.58.)

In order to remove the storage requirements we use Pollard's rho method with a specially prepared mapping. We use a method that allows to find cycles in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{q})$ with negligible storage. For more details we refer to Menezes-Oorshot-Vanstone book section 3.6.3. and Example 3.61.

7. If H were not one-way, then in the Known-Message attack, given one pair message-signature $(m, (r, s))$, one would be able to compute another message m' such that $H(m) = H(m')$ and therefore the same signature would also be valid for m' .

((Note that the attack might fail if we have only access to pairs message-signature (m, r, s) with some very special m for which SHA-1 is weak, would leak some information about m and thus the attacker would systematically produce $m' = m$. This is very, very unlikely. In practice m will be unrelated to any weakness of SHA-1 (and no such weakness was ever even suspected to exist). There are many other pre-images for $H(m)$, and the attacker cannot compute m because he simply does NOT have any information on m other than $H(m)$, so the probability that $m = m'$ is negligible.))

8. Brute force inversion on SHA-1 will take 2^{160} computations of SHA-1.
9. This will be Chosen-Message attack. A secretary will produce a collision: $H(m) = H(m')$ and ask the boss to sign m which gives (r, s) . The message m' has also been signed and $(m, (r, s))$ is valid.
10. Brute force collision search with SHA-1 will take 2^{80} computations of SHA-1. It can implemented without using any memory with Shanks baby-step giant-step algorithm.